

# Types & Semantics - Lecture 4

Wouter Swierstra



Universiteit Utrecht

[Faculty of Science  
Information and Computing  
Sciences]

# Exercises

Please submit your exercises through the submit website:

`www.cs.uu.nl/docs/submit`

You have until midnight tomorrow.

I'll follow up with instructions for peer assessment next week.



# Recap

1. Introduction to Agda
2. Simply typed functional programming
3. Basic proofs
4. Using dependent types



# When does a programming language exist?



A programming language is defined by its:

- ▶ syntax define which strings are program terms
- ▶ (static) semantics describes whether a given term makes sense (is type correct, well-scoped, etc.)
- ▶ (dynamic) semantics describes the result a program will produce when executed



# Terms and values

The *terms* of a programming language describe the collection of all possible programs - think of basically describing the abstract syntax tree.

The subset of terms that cannot be evaluated any further are referred to as *values*.

A dynamic semantics somehow relates these terms and values.



# Today's language

Today we'll start by looking at a trivial programming language:

`data Term where`

`True : Term`

`False : Term`

`Case : Term -> Term -> Term -> Term`

What are the values of this language?



# Semantics in Agda

A semantics is a relation over terms.

We can define these relations as dependent types in Agda. Just as we defined less than or equals as a relation on natural numbers.

We can then use Agda to test run our semantics or reason about our definitions.





# Demo



Universiteit Utrecht

[Faculty of Science  
Information and Computing  
Sciences]

# Recap

- ▶ Denotational semantics define an interpreter
- ▶ Small step semantics define a relation between terms;
- ▶ Big step semantics define a relation between terms and values.

These definitions each have their pros and cons.

It is possible to prove different semantics equivalent.



# Small step semantics

A *normal form* is a term that cannot be evaluated further.

Ideally, normal forms should correspond to values and be unique.

These semantics give us a very fine-grained view of how evaluation is performed.



# Big step semantics

The big step semantics are defined as a relation between terms and values.

This definition 'hide' intermediate evaluation states -- a single induction step may correspond to many small steps.

This sometimes makes reasoning about end results easier, but hides low-level details.



# Denotational semantics

This corresponds to giving a total function defining an interpreter for our language.

This is easy for trivial languages -- but much harder for possibly non-terminating languages such as the untyped lambda calculus.

These definitions compute -- which makes them very pleasant to work with in a type theory such as Agda.

But may be harder to write than their big-step counterparts.

